Filed 05/28/2008

Page 1 of 9

Case No. C08 00264 PVT

Case 5:08-cv-00264-PVT Document 35

EXHIBIT "A"

SUPERIOR COU COUNTY (RT OF CALIFORNIA OF MONTEREY	
First Federal, Plaintiff/Petitioner vs.	Hon. Lydia M. Villarreal Clerk: Renee Inofuentes CSR: Pam Ferry CSR#	
Johnson, Deborah E., et al, Defendant/Respondent	Interpreter: Language:	
Minutes: Motion Hearing	Case No. M89741	
Date: 5/9/2008	Courtroom 9 Related Cases:	
Appearances: No appearances Gary Hoffman, Attorney for Plaintiff Gerald Johnson, Defendant present in pro per Gerald Johnson, Defendant present in pro per		
Case is regularly called for Plaintiff's Motion for Sumr	mary Judgment hearing.	
rguments are made by respective parties.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment is Denied.//



I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE.

MAY 1 2 2008

DATE.

D. MARTINELLI

DEPUTY

EXHIBIT "B"



MAY 1 4 2008

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF MONTEREY**

CONNIE MALLEI CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT CHRISTIE SITTERLY DEPUTY

First Federal Plaintiff(s),	No. M89741	
VS.	NOTICE OF REFERRAL TO MEDIATION	
Johnson, Deborah E et al Defendant(s).		
TO COUNSEL OF RECORD:		
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the following members of the Mediation Panel, 1 and Local Rules 6.07(d) and 6.09(d)(3).	Court refers the above-entitled case to the pursuant to the Mediation Program Rules	
Assigned Mediator:	7	
2. Alternate Mediator:	8	
Within 10 days of the date below, all counsel shall confer with the assigned mediator and, if necessary, the alternate mediator, to determine whether conflicts of interest exist. Counsel shall also deliver complete copies of their Case Management Statements and a copy of this Notice of Referral to Mediation to the mediator. Thereafter, the parties shall comply with the Mediation Program Rules. Mediation shall be completed within 90 days from the date of the Notice of Referral to Mediation.		
Settlement Senfagones is set for	at 1.00 p	
MAY 1 4 2008 S	usan m. dauphiné	
Jud	ge of the Superior Court	

PRESIDING JUDGE, SEC. 635 CCP

Document 35

Filed 05/28/2008

Page 6 of 9

Case 5:08-cv-00264-PVT

SEPARATE CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

- 1. <u>Jurisdiction and Service:</u> Plaintiffs currently have no issues with the jurisdiction and no additional parties are to be served at this time.
- Plaintiff, Gerald D. Johnson, was removed from the loan and title to the property by Old
 Republic Title Company with instructions from Defendant, First Federal Bank Of California.

 Plaintiff, Gerald D. Johnson's, income was retained on the loan application while his unsecured debt was removed. The loan application has Plaintiff, Gerald D. Johnson, as a person that will be on title. Plaintiffs rescinded the loan immediately after signing but were later convinced by the Defendant that the removal of a party to a loan was normal. Defendant misrepresented the amount owed on back payments to Plaintiffs and the Federal Bankruptcy Court. Documents related to the foreclosure sale, notices mailed to Plaintiffs and recorded for transfer with the Monterey County Recorder's Office were altered or misrepresented by T.D. Service Company at the direction of the Defendant. First Federal Bank Of California funds were used at the inception of the loan and for the transfer of the property. The Defendant has directed the following entities, Old Republic Title Company, PMC Mortgage Company, T.D. Service Company and the law firm of Ruzicka & Wallace, LLP while also utilizing the telephone wire service and U.S. mail service.
- 3. <u>Legal Issues:</u> The principle legal issues of this case are bank and contract fraud at inception of the contract, during the contract period and upon transfer, violations of Truth In Lending (Regulation Z) and State Of California contract law violations. Federal and California statutes to reference; 18 USC 1344, 18 USC 1962, 18 USC 1343, 18 USC 1957, 18 USC 1345, Ca. Civ. Code 1596 & 1598, 12 CFR 226.23
- 4. <u>Motions:</u> There are currently 2 motions waiting to be heard. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint which is scheduled for June 3, 2008 hearing. Plaintiffs' Motion

5

7

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

For Partial Remand to answer the unlawful detainer action in Superior Court is not scheduled due to Plaintiffs' filing error.

- 5. **Amendment of Pleadings:** At this time Plaintiffs anticipate no additional amendments or pleadings until after discovery.
- 6. Evidence Preservation: Plaintiffs have not and will not destroy, delete or erase any communications pertaining to or related to this case or any related case.
- 7. Disclosures: Plaintiffs will request all related documents and communication between Defendant and Old Republic Title, PMC Mortgage and T.D. Service Company. The loan process and transfer require far more documentation than the Defendant has disclosed.
- 8. Discovery: Plaintiffs will provide Defendant and the related entities with a written request for all documents and communications related to the loan and transfer.
- 9. Class Actions: Currently this case is not a class action but the Defendant's response in deposition, trial cross examination, documentation in files and methods of providing loans, may lead to a broader claim that may reach a class action status.
- 10. **Related Cases:** Superior Court Of California case number M89741, unlawful detainer action filed by Defendant, First Federal Bank Of California, has been referred to mediation prior to trial date being set.
- 11. Relief: Plaintiffs relief will be what is required under the RICO Act, 12 CFR 226.23 and any punitive damages allowed. The total monetary damages suffered by the Plaintiffs has not been determined.
- 12. **Settlement and ADR:** ADR is premature at this time.
- 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes: Plaintiffs have consented to proceed before a Magistrate Judge for all purposes.
- 14. Other References: Plaintiffs will remain open to arbitration, a special master or the Judicial

- 11	1	
1	Panel	until more knowledge is gained about each.
2	15.	Narrowing of Issues: Issues may broaden after discovery.
3	16.	Expedited Schedule: If discovery is completed in a timely manner, Plaintiffs are
4	willing	g to move forward.
5	17.	Scheduling: Plaintiffs are willing to proceed under the current Court schedule.
6	18.	Trial: Plaintiff has jury trial demand.
7	19.	Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons: All creditors in Plaintiffs
8	bankr	uptcy filing are Non-party Interested Entities. A list of those creditors will be provided to
9	the co	ourt on June 3, 2008.
10	20.	Plaintiffs have nothing to add.
11		27 Depart 600
12	Dated	1: May 27, 2008 Deborah E. Johnson, Plaintiff
13		Janel J.
14		Gerald D. Johnson, Plaintiff (pro se)
15		
16		
17		
18		••.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		